

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/737,124	12/17/2003	Young-Doug Kim	8947-000074/US	5936		
30593 HARNESS, DI	7590 02/01/2008 ICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.O	3.	EXAM	INER.		
P.O. BOX 8910			DANG, KHANH			
RESTON, VA	20195	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	••		2111			
	•			•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/01/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

i	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/737,124	KIM ET AL.
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Khanh Dang	2111
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):	
(1) Khanh Dang.	(3)	
(2) Scott (Atty).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>22 January 2008</u> .	·	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative	· e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		•
Identification of prior art discussed: Kenny.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the genera reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to th GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGEF INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	e last Office action has already R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	v been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		•
·	Knare	7 pous
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		hanh Dang Pary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' proposed amendment to claim 1 (see attachment) does not overcome Kenny. It is the Examiner's position that the ADD/REQ signals from the masters are readable as "information on a target slave for each requesting master unit." As pointed out by Applicants, support for Applicants' proposed amendment can be found on [0028] and [0041]. Applicants intend to file an RCE to continue prosecution of this applicantion and to further define the claims to place the application in condition for allowance. It is also the Examiner's intention to work cooperatively with Applicants to advance prosecution of this application.

## HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

Attorneys and Counselors
11730 Plaza America Drive, Suite 600,
Reston, Virginia 20190
P.O. Box 8910, Reston, Virginia 20195

Phone: (703) 668-8000 Fax: (703) 668-8200

## Fax

То:	Examiner Khanh Dang	From:	John A. Castellano	·
Fax:	571-273-3626	Date:	January 16, 2008	
Phone:	571-272-3626	Pages: 3 (including cov		sheet)
App. No.:	pp. No.: 10/737,124		8947-000074/US	<u> </u>
Re:	A A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	CC:		
Urgent	For Review	Ple	use Comment	Please Reply
confidential ar	ion is intended for the sole use of the individual exempt from disclosure under applicable lay someone other than the intended addresses or its firm immediately by collect call to (703) 668-	w. You are hereby for its designated agent is	otified that any dissemination, dis strictly prohibited. If your receipt	stribution of duplication of this t of this transmission is in error

COMMENTS: APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Applican	t Initi	ated Inte	rview Reque	st Form		
Application No.: 10/737,124		Applicant: Yo	oung-Doug Kim			
	Art Unit	: 2111	Status of Appl	n.: After Fina	1	
Tentative Participants:				•		
(1) John Castellano	(2) Aar	on Mace				
(3) Scott Elchert	(4) Khanh Dang					
Proposed Date of Interview: Januar	y 22, 2	008 Proj	posed Time: 2:0	0 pm		
Type of Interview Requested:						
(1) Telephonic (2) T	ersona	al	(3) 🔲 Video	Conference		
Exhibit to be shown or demonstrate If yes, provide brief description:	ted:	Yes	⊠ No			
п усо, р.с.						
	Iss	ues To B	e Discussed			
Issues Claims/		Prior	Discussed	Agreed		Not Agreed
(Rej., Obj. etc.) Fig. #s		Art	_			•
(1) Rej Independent	claims	Kenny				
(2)						
(3)						
(4)						
Continuation Sheet Attached						
Brief Description of Arguments t	o be Pı	resented:				
Please see attached Continuation S	Sheet.					
An interview was conducted on	the abo	ov <del>e</del> -identific	ed application o	n.		
Note: This form should be completed by a MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed interview. Therefore, applicant is a as soon as possible.			- 1041- Ea	:Luca da eu hmi	if a wrifte	n record of this
(Applicant/Applicant's Representation	tive Sig	nature)	(Examiner	SPE Signatui	re)	

P.003

## **Continuation Sheet:**

Applicant will present arguments and proposed amendments directed to the independent claims and the cited art Kenny. In particular, Applicant will present the following:

Proposed amendments to claim 1 including the features "the transaction information includes information on a target slave for each requesting master unit, and the arbiter performs arbitration based on the information on the target slave for each requesting unit." Applicant submits that Kenny fails to disclose these features, and that pre-assigned priorities are not information on target slaves.

Applicant also submits that Kenny fails to disclose "receiving transaction information from all requesting master units in response to the pseudo-grant signals" as required by claim 1. In particular, Applicant submits the arbiter 4 in Kenny grants the virtual channel based on the pre-assigned priorities, and therefore, the preassigned priorities are not sent in response to pseudo-grant signals. Further, Kenny does not disclose any information is sent from master modules in response to pseudo-grant signals.

Applicant also will discuss the arguments submitted in Applicant's previously filed Response.